

## Lecture #4 of 15 (3: TThF, 5: <u>M</u>TWThF, 4: MTWTh, 2: TW)

Prof. Shane Ardo Department of Chemistry University of California Irvine

Periodic	Table	of the	Elements
----------	-------	--------	----------

75

	1 1A 1 <b>H</b>	]																18 8A 2 <b>He</b>
	Hydrogen 1.01	2 2A					K	Key					13 3A	14 4A	15 5A	16 6A	17 7A	Helium 4.00
2	3 Li Lithium 6.94	4 Be Beryllium 9.01				11· Na Sodiur	Atc	omic numb ement sym ement nam	ber Ibol ne				5 <b>B</b> Boron 10.81	6 <b>C</b> Carbon 12.01	7 <b>N</b> Nitrogen 14.01	8 <b>O</b> Oxygen 16.00	9 F Fluorine 19.00	10 <b>Ne</b> Neon 20.18
3	11 Na Sodium 22.99	12 Mg Magnesium 24.31	3 3B	4 4B	5 5B	6 6B	7 7 7B	erage ator 8	nic mass* 9 —8B—	10	11 1 1B	12 2B	13 Aluminum 26.98	14 <b>Si</b> Silicon 28.09	15 P Phosphorus 30.97	16 <b>S</b> Sulfur 32.07	17 Cl Chlorine 35.45	18 <b>Ar</b> Argon 39.95
4	19 K Potassium 39.10	20 <b>Ca</b> Calcium 40.08	21 <b>SC</b> Scandium 44.96	22 <b>Ti</b> Titanium 47.87	23 V Vanadium 50.94	24 <b>Cr</b> Chromium 52.00	25 <b>Mn</b> Manganese 54.94	26 <b>Fe</b> Iron 55.85	27 <b>Co</b> Cobalt 58.93	28 <b>Ni</b> Nickel 58.69	29 <b>Cu</b> Copper 63.55	30 <b>Zn</b> Zinc 65.39	31 <b>Ga</b> Gallium 69.72	32 <b>Ge</b> Germanium 72.61	33 <b>As</b> Arsenic 74.92	34 <b>Se</b> Selenium 78.96	35 <b>Br</b> Bromine 79.90	36 <b>Kr</b> Krypton 83.80
5	37 <b>Rb</b> Rubidium 85.47	38 Sr Strontium 87.62	39 <b>Y</b> Yttrium 88.91	40 <b>Zr</b> Zirconium 91.22	41 <b>Nb</b> Niobium 92.91	42 Mo Molybdenum 95.94	43 Tc Technetium (98)	44 <b>Ru</b> Ruthenium 101.07	45 <b>Rh</b> Rhodium 102.91	46 Pd Palladium 106.42	47 <b>Ag</b> Silver 107.87	48 <b>Cd</b> Cadmium 112.41	49 <b>In</b> Indium 114.82	50 <b>Sn</b> <sup>Tin</sup> 118.71	51 <b>Sb</b> Antimony 121.76	52 <b>Te</b> Tellurium 127.60	53 I lodine 126.90	54 <b>Xe</b> <sub>Xenon</sub> 131.29
6	55 <b>Cs</b> Cesium 132.91	56 <b>Ba</b> Barium 137.33	57 La Lanthanum 138.91	72 <b>Hf</b> Hafnium 178.49	73 <b>Ta</b> Tantalum 180.95	74 <b>W</b> Tungsten 183.84	75 <b>Re</b> Rhenium 186.21	76 <b>Os</b> Osmium 190.23	77 <b>Ir</b> Iridium 192.22	78 <b>Pt</b> Platinum 195.08	79 <b>Au</b> <sub>Gold</sub> 196.97	80 <b>Hg</b> Mercury 200.59	81 <b>TI</b> Thallium 204.38	82 <b>Pb</b> Lead 207.2	83 <b>Bi</b> Bismuth 208.98	84 <b>Po</b> Polonium (209)	85 At Astatine (210)	86 <b>Rn</b> Radon (222)
7	87 <b>Fr</b> Francium (223)	88 <b>Ra</b> Radium (226)	89 Ac Actinium (227)	104 <b>Rf</b> Rutherfordium (261)	105 <b>Db</b> Dubnium (262)	106 <b>Sg</b> Seaborgium (266)	107 <b>Bh</b> Bohrium (264)	108 <b>HS</b> Hassium (269)	109 Mt Meitnerium (268)									
1	* If this number is in parentheses, then 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71   * If this number is in parentheses, then 140.12 Praseodymium 140.91 Neodymium 144.24 Promethium (145) Samarium 150.36 Europium 151.96 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71   * If this number is in parentheses, then 140.91 144.24 (145) 150.36 151.96 157.25 158.93 162.50 164.93 167.26 168.93 173.04 174.97									Lu Lutetium 174.97								
	most stabl	e isotope.	nass of the		90 <b>Th</b> Thorium 232.04	91 <b>Pa</b> Protactinium 231.04	92 <b>U</b> Uranium 238.03	93 <b>Np</b> Neptunium (237)	94 <b>Pu</b> Plutonium (244)	95 <b>Am</b> Americium (243)	96 <b>Cm</b> Curium (247)	97 <b>Bk</b> Berkelium (247)	98 <b>Cf</b> Californium (251)	99 <b>Es</b> Einsteinium (252)	100 <b>Fm</b> Fermium (257)	101 Md Mendelevium (258)	102 <b>No</b> Nobelium (259)	103 <b>Lr</b> Lawrencium (262)

... What does the atomic number tell you?...

... And why is the "average" atomic mass indicated?...

... for example, recall that there are 15 isotopes of carbon: <sup>11</sup>C, <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>14</sup>C

Copyright © 2008 California Department of Education



# **Chemical Properties**

Prof. Shane Ardo Department of Chemistry University of California Irvine

#### **Chemical Properties**

- Molecular nomenclature, Solutions, Balanced chemical reactions
- State functions, Standard states, Thermochemistry
- Non-ideal gases, Intermolecular forces, Physical properties, Phase changes, Colligative properties, Water activity
- Free energy, (X)Chemical potential, Chemical equilibrium, van't Hoff equation, Activity coefficients, Le Chatelier's principle
- Schrödinger equation, Internal energy, Atomic orbitals, Hybridization
- Valence bond theory, Molecular orbital theory, Band diagrams
- Crystal field theory, Ligand field theory

#### (REVIEW... and then let's do allslides after this one again, as the info is that important!) 78 Free Energy, a bit more rigorous approach

**Internal energy, E**, is the energy of the system... and related terms are defined as **enthalpy, H** = **E** + *pV*, **Gibbs free energy, G** = **H** – *T***S**, and **Entropy, S**... what in the world is **free energy**?

... total differentials are facile... for example, dH = dE + pdV + Vdp and dG = dH - TdS - SdT

Well, it stems from the laws of thermodynamics: (1) <u>Conservation of Energy</u>:  $\Delta E_{universe} = 0$ ;  $dE_{system} = dQ + dw...$  where **Q** is heat and **w** is work (2) <u>Increase of Entropy</u>:  $\Delta S_{universe} \ge 0$ ,  $\Delta G_{system} \le 0$ , and  $dQ \le TdS_{system}$ (3) <u>Lower Limit to Entropy and Temperature</u>:  $S_{system} \ge 0$ ,  $T \ge 0$ 

... where dw = dw' + -pdV

Many chemists are interested in non-pdV work, dw', and so using total differentials... By the 1<sup>st</sup> law,  $dE_{system} = dQ + dw' + -pdV$ ... and thus  $dH_{system} = dQ + dw' + Vdp$ And by the 2<sup>nd</sup> law,  $dw' = dH_{sys} - TdS_{sys} - Vdp$ ... and thus  $dw' = dG_{sys} + S_{sys}dT - Vdp$ *Q* flow possible from internal energy *P* and *P*,  $(dG_{sys})_{T,p} = dw'$ ... in chemistry, *w'* is reversible (photo)(electro)chemical work! ... most chemists work with systems at constant pressure (ambient) and temperature (also ambient) ... but what are examples of reversible (photo)(electro)chemical work?... Chemical reactions!

## (Electro)Chemical Potential

What if we could determine the contribution from each species, *i*, to the ability of the system to perform useful (photo)(electro)chemical work? That might be good to know, right?

(REVIEW)

79

Well, we're in luck... that is the definition of the (electro)chemical potential:  $\mu_i = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}\right)_{T,p,n_{j\neq i}}$ 

... it's the <u>change in Gibbs free energy</u>,  $\partial G$ , for every infinitesimal change in the amount,  $\partial n_i$ with  $T, p, n_{j\neq i}$  held constant

So, let's write the general form of  $dG = \left(\sum_{i} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T,p,n_{j\neq i}} \partial n_{i}\right)$  and integrate from 0 to some amount of each  $n_{i}$ , which we'll call (R)eactants, and separately for (P)roducts, and one gets

$$G_{\rm R} = \sum_r \mu_r n_r \qquad G_{\rm P} = \sum_p \mu_p n_P$$

So,  $\Delta G$  for converting reactants to products is then  $\Delta G = \sum_p \mu_p n_p - \sum_r \mu_r n_r$ ... which seems to make sense... to me at least

... and there is nothing special about "electro" above... this could be "magneto", "gravito", "weak force-o", etc.

## (Electro)Chemical Potential

So, let's take a deeper look at this for  $A \rightleftharpoons B$ 

Assume this reaction has a negative free energy difference... its infinitesimal change is...  $dG = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_A}\right) \partial n_A + \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_B}\right) \partial n_B = \mu_A \partial n_A + \mu_B \partial n_B...$ but since every loss of A has a gain of B  $dG = (\mu_B - \mu_A) \partial n_B...$ and so this is a detailed thermodynamic version of an ICE table!

$A \rightleftharpoons B$	A – <i>x</i> ; B + <i>x</i>	$A \rightleftharpoons B$
(I)nitial	(C)hange	(E)quilibrium

But hold on... at equilibrium, what is dG? • Yet based on the equation, won't all A turn into B?

... the answer is that  $\mu_i$  are not constants with  $\partial n_i$ ... and ultimately  $\mu_B^{\alpha} = \mu_A^{\alpha}$ , at equilibrium

... as seen (*next*) by its definition:  $\overline{\mu}_i^{\alpha} = \mu_i^{\alpha} + z_i q \phi^{\alpha} = \mu_i^{o,\alpha} + RT \ln a_i^{\alpha} + z_i q \phi^{\alpha}$  in phase  $\alpha$ ...

#### (UPDATED/REVIEW) 81

## (Electro)Chemical Potential

So where does the fundamental equation,  $\overline{\mu}_{i}^{\alpha} = \overline{\mu}_{i}^{o,\alpha} + RT \ln a_{i}^{\alpha} + z_{i}q\phi^{\alpha}$ , come from?

... recall that, by definition,  $dw' = dG_{sys} + S_{sys}dT - Vdp$  and  $\overline{\mu}_i = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}\right)_{T,p,n_{j\neq i}}$ ... and thus for species *i*, at constant *T* and  $p_{(total)}$ ... one has  $dw'/dn_i = d\overline{\mu}_i - V_i dp_i$ ... where  $V_i$  is partial molar volume,  $\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial n_i}\right)_{T,p,n_{j\neq i}}$ , and  $p_i$  is partial pressure

... then assuming ideal gas law behavior,  $V_i = RT/p_i$ ... and so one has  $d\overline{\mu}_i = RT(1/p_i) dp_i + dw'_i$ 

... integration from  $\overline{\mu}_i^{0,\alpha}$  to  $\overline{\mu}_i^{\alpha}$  results in  $\overline{\mu}_i^{\alpha} = \overline{\mu}_i^{0,\alpha} + RT \ln(p_i^{\alpha}/p_i^{0,\alpha}) + w'_i$ 

... and when species *i* is non-ideal,  $a_i^{\alpha} = \gamma_i^{\alpha} (p_i^{\alpha}/p_i^{o,\alpha})$  is more accurate in the natural log term

... and/or when species *i* is charged,  $z_i \neq 0$ , then <u>spatial effects</u> due to  $\phi^{\alpha}$  are important



#### (Electro)Chemical Potential So in the previous example, imagine that A and B are <u>ideal gases</u>... ... so they cannot react... $n_A$ and $n_B$ are independent and constant -0.2

-0.2 Then, using  $\overline{\mu}_i^{\alpha} = \overline{\mu}_i^{0,\alpha} + RT \ln a_i^{\alpha} + z_i q \phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha}$  $\bar{\mu}_{A}^{\alpha} = \bar{\mu}_{A}^{0,\alpha} + RT \ln a_{A}^{\alpha} + (0)q\phi^{\alpha}$ o''' classical version of  $\hat{V}$  for  $\bar{\mu}_{\rm B}^{\alpha} = \bar{\mu}_{\rm B}^{0,\alpha} + RT \ln a_{\rm B}^{\alpha} + (0)q\phi^{\alpha}$ effects outside of the Hamiltonian "box" Defining the free energy change upon mixing is facile as  $G_{\text{initial}} = \bar{\mu}_{A}^{\alpha} n_{A} + \bar{\mu}_{B}^{\alpha} n_{B}$   $G_{\text{final}} = \bar{\mu}_{A,\text{eq}}^{\alpha} n_{A} + \bar{\mu}_{B,\text{eq}}^{\alpha} n_{B}$ -0.6 $\Delta G_{\text{mix}} = n_{\text{A}} \left( RT \ln \frac{a_{\text{A,eq}}^{\alpha}}{a_{\text{A}}^{\alpha}} \right) + n_{\text{B}} \left( RT \ln \frac{a_{\text{B,eq}}^{\alpha}}{a_{\text{B}}^{\alpha}} \right)$ ... with total number,  $n = n_{\rm A} + n_{\rm B}$ , and mole fraction,  $x_i = \frac{n_i}{n}$ ... -0.8 $\Delta G_{\text{mix}} = nRT \left( x_{\text{A,eq}}^{\alpha} \ln x_{\text{A,eq}}^{\alpha} + x_{\text{B,eq}}^{\alpha} \ln x_{\text{B,eq}}^{\alpha} \right)$ 0.5 Mole fraction of A,  $x_A$ 

... since this assumed ideal gases,  $\Delta H_{\text{mix}} = 0$  and the driving force is purely entropic ( $\Delta S_{\text{mix}} \ge 0$ )... this is the driving force for colligative properties!



**(REVIEW)** 83

#### Chemical Potential

#### Pure substance





Figures from Prof. Mark Lonergan (Univ. of Oregon)

### Equilibrium

And, in summary, as it relates to the fundamental equation,  $\overline{\mu}_i^{\alpha} = \overline{\mu}_i^{0,\alpha} + RT \ln \alpha_i^{\alpha} + z_i \overline{q} \phi^{\alpha}$ 

Recall that for species in solution,  $a_i^{\alpha} = \gamma_i^{\alpha} \frac{c_i^{\alpha}}{c_i^{0,\alpha}}$ 

... where  $\gamma_i^{\alpha}$  are activity coefficients (more in a bit)

Component	Basis	Standard state	Activity	Limits
Solid or liquid		Pure	a = 1	
Solvent	Raoult	Pure solvent	$a = p/p^*, a = \gamma x$	$\gamma \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow 1$ (pure solvent)
Solute	Henry	(1) A hypothetical state of the pure solute	$a = p/K, a = \gamma x$	$\gamma \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0$
		(2) A hypothetical state of the solute at molality $b^{\bullet}$	$a = \gamma b/b^{\oplus}$	$\gamma \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } b \rightarrow 0$

effects outside of the

Hamiltonian "box"

classical version of **REVIEW**) 84

Table 5.3 Standard states

 $A \rightleftharpoons B$ 

In each case,  $\mu = \mu^{\oplus} + RT \ln a$ .

able 5-3 tkins Physical Chemistry

And back to  $dG = (\bar{\mu}_{B}^{\alpha} - \bar{\mu}_{A}^{\alpha})\partial n_{B}...$  where  $\partial n_{B}$  is termed the **progress of the reaction**, and so...  $\Delta G = \left(\bar{\mu}_{B}^{\alpha} - \frac{v_{A}}{v_{B}}\bar{\mu}_{A}^{\alpha}\right)...$  with  $v_{A}$  (stoichiometric number)

... when  $\Delta G < 0$  the reaction is **exoergic/exergonic**, and net proceeds as written ... when  $\Delta G > 0$  the reaction is **endoergic/endergonic**, and net proceeds opposite of as written ... when  $\Delta G = 0$  the reaction is at **equilibrium** and proceeds equally in both directions (net is 0)

## Chemical (and Thermal) Equilibrium

Given  $\Delta G = (\bar{\mu}_{B}^{\alpha} - \bar{\mu}_{A}^{\alpha})$ ... which could have  $v_{i}$  too... and using  $\bar{\mu}_{i}^{\alpha} = \bar{\mu}_{i}^{o,\alpha} + RT \ln a_{i}^{\alpha} + z_{i}q\phi^{\alpha}$  $\Delta G = \bar{\mu}_{B}^{o,\alpha} + RT \ln a_{B}^{\alpha} + z_{B}q\phi^{\alpha} - \bar{\mu}_{A}^{o,\alpha} + RT \ln a_{A}^{\alpha} + z_{A}q\phi^{\alpha}$  For an uncharged species:  $\bar{\mu}_i^{\alpha} = \mu_i^{\alpha}$ For any substance:  $\mu_i^{\alpha} = \mu_i^{0\alpha} + RT \ln a_i^{\alpha}$ For any pure phase at unit activity  $\mu_i^{\alpha} = \mu_i^{0\alpha}$ For electrons in a metal (z = -1):  $\bar{\mu}_e^{\alpha} = \mu_e^{0\alpha} - F\phi^{\alpha}$ For equilibrium of species *i* between phases  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :  $\bar{\mu}_i^{\alpha} = \bar{\mu}_i^{\beta}$ 



As the reaction advances (represented by motion from left to right along the horizontal axis) the slope of the Gibbs energy changes. Equilibrium corresponds to zero slope, at the foot of the valley.

(REVIEW

85

<u>At equilibrium</u>  $\bar{\mu}_{\rm B} - \bar{\mu}_{\rm A} = 0 = \Delta G^{\rm o',\alpha} + RT \ln Q'_{\rm eq}$ , so  $\Delta G^{\rm o',\alpha} = -RT \ln K'$  with  $K' = \frac{c_{\rm B,eq}^{\rm o',\alpha}}{c_{\rm eq}^{\rm o',\alpha}}$ 

#### **TABLE 9-2**

Equilibria and Equilibrium	Constants	Important	in Analytical	Chemistry
----------------------------	-----------	-----------	---------------	-----------

Type of Equilibrium	Name and Symbol of Equilibrium-Constant	Typical Example	Equilibrium-Constant Expression
Dissociation of water Heterogeneous equilibrium between a slightly soluble substance and its ions in a saturated solution	Ion-product constant, $K_w$ Solubility product, $K_{sp}$	$2H_2O \rightleftharpoons H_3O^+ + OH^-$ BaSO <sub>4</sub> (s) $\rightleftharpoons$ Ba <sup>2+</sup> + SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	$K_{w} = [H_{3}O^{+}][OH^{-}]$ $K_{sp} = [Ba^{2+}][SO_{4}^{2-}]$
Dissociation of a weak acid or base	Dissociation constant, <i>K</i> <sub>a</sub> or <i>K</i> <sub>b</sub>	$CH_{3}COOH + H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons$ $H_{3}O^{+} + CH_{3}COO^{-}$ $CH_{3}COO^{-} + H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons$ $OH^{-} + CH_{3}COOH$	$K_{a} = \frac{[H_{3}O^{+}][CH_{3}COO^{-}]}{[CH_{3}COOH]}$ $K_{b} = \frac{[OH^{-}][CH_{3}COOH]}{[CH_{3}COO^{-}]}$
Formation of a complex ion	Formation constant, $\beta_n$	$Ni^{2+} + 4CN^{-} \rightleftharpoons Ni(CN)_{4}^{2-}$	$\beta_4 = \frac{[\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4^{2-}]}{[\text{Ni}^{2+}][\text{CN}^{-}]^4}$
Oxidation/reduction equilibrium	K <sub>redox</sub>	$MnO_4^{-} + 5Fe^{2+} + 8H^+ \rightleftharpoons$ $Mn^{2+} + 5Fe^{3+} + 4H_2O$	$K_{\text{redox}} = \frac{[\text{Mn}^{2+}][\text{Fe}^{3+}]^5}{[\text{Mn}\text{O}_4^{-}][\text{Fe}^{2+}]^5[\text{H}^{+}]^8}$
Distribution equilibrium for a solute between immiscible solvents	K <sub>d</sub>	$I_2(aq) \rightleftharpoons I_2(org)$	$K_{\rm d} = \frac{[I_2]_{\rm org}}{[I_2]_{\rm aq}}$
© Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved.			

(REVIEW) 86 ... so if you see a capital K... the letter after J in the alphabet... you should know what it means and what chemists are thinking about...

... but shouldn't these be *K*' values, and where are the standard states?... Um, yup!... Oh nomenclature; speaking of which... https://goldbook.iupa c.org/

http://sites.usm.edu/electrochem/Analytical%20Chemistry/Lecture%20Notes/Chapter%209%20Chem%20Equilibria.pdf

#### Scientists & Engineers love to vary Temperature

 $\Delta G^{\circ',\alpha} = -RT \ln K'$  indicates that T relates a measurable quantity, K', to  $\Delta G^{\circ',\alpha}$ ...

... but an even more accurate analysis relies on  $\Delta G^{\circ',\alpha} = \Delta H^{\circ',\alpha} - T\Delta S^{\circ',\alpha}$  for a given *T* and *p*, ... leading to the linearized **van't Hoff equation**:  $\ln K' = -\frac{\Delta H^{\circ',\alpha}}{R}\frac{1}{T} + \frac{\Delta S^{\circ',\alpha}}{R}$ 

... but this is also an approximation that only holds over a rather small ranges of T due to the temperature dependence of  $\Delta H^{o',\alpha} = C_p \Delta T$ , and  $C_p$ , and  $\Delta S^{o',\alpha}$ 

... nevertheless, many thermochemical properties that can be determined by varying T...

... and chemical kinetic properties too (stay tuned)...

<u>At equilibrium</u>  $\bar{\mu}_{\rm B} - \bar{\mu}_{\rm A} = 0 = \Delta G^{\circ\prime,\alpha} + RT \ln Q'_{\rm eq}$ , so  $\Delta G^{\circ\prime,\alpha} = -RT \ln K'$  with  $K' = \frac{c_{\rm B,eq}^{\alpha}/c_{\rm B}^{\circ,\alpha}}{c_{\rm A,eq}^{\alpha}/c_{\rm A}^{\circ,\alpha}}$ 

### Activity Coefficients

Debye–Hückel equation (in water at 25 °C) 1

#### **TABLE 10-2**

#### Activity Coefficients for lons at 25°C

	Activity Coefficient at Indicated Ionic Strength, I						
Ion	$\alpha_{X}$ , nm	0.001	0.005	0.01	0.05	0.1	
H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	0.9	0.967	0.934	0.913	0.85	0.83	
$Li^+$ , $C_6H_5COO^-$	0.6	0.966	0.930	0.907	0.83	0.80	
Na <sup>+</sup> , IO <sub>3</sub> , HSO <sub>3</sub> , HCO <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> AsO <sub>4</sub> , OAc <sup>-</sup>	0.4-0.45	0.965	0.927	0.902	0.82	0.77	
OH <sup>-</sup> , F <sup>-</sup> , SCN <sup>-</sup> , HS <sup>-</sup> , CIO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , CIO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> , BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , IO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , MnO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.35	0.965	0.926	0.900	0.81	0.76	
K <sup>+</sup> , CI <sup>-</sup> , Br <sup>-</sup> , I <sup>-</sup> , CN <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , HCOO <sup>-</sup>	0.3	0.965	0.925	0.899	0.81	0.75	
$Rb^+, Cs^+, TI^+, Ag^+, NH_4^+$	0.25	0.965	0.925	0.897	0.80	0.75	
Mg <sup>2+</sup> , Be <sup>2+</sup>	0.8	0.872	0.756	0.690	0.52	0.44	
Ca <sup>2+</sup> , Cu <sup>2+</sup> , Zn <sup>2+</sup> , Sn <sup>2+</sup> , Mn <sup>2+</sup> , Fe <sup>2+</sup> ) Ni <sup>2+</sup> , Co <sup>2+</sup> , Phthalate <sup>2-</sup>	0.6	0.870	0.748	0.676	0.48	0.40	
Sr <sup>2+</sup> , Ba <sup>2+</sup> , Cd <sup>2+</sup> , Hg <sup>2+</sup> , S <sup>2-</sup>	0.5	0.869	0.743	0.668	0.46	0.38	
$Pb^{2+}, CO_3^{2-}, SO_3^{2-}, C_2O_4^{2-}$	0.45	0.868	0.741	0.665	0.45	0.36	
$Hg_2^{2+}$ , $SO_2^{2-}$ , $S_2O_3^{2-}$ , $Cr_4^{2-}$ , $HPO_4^{2-}$	0.40	0.867	0.738	0.661	0.44	0.35	
$AI^{3+}$ , $Fe^{3+}$ , $Cr^{3+}$ , $La^{3+}$ , $Ce^{3+}$	0.9	0.737	0.540	0.443	0.24	0.18	
$PO_4^{3-}$ , $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$	0.4	0.726	0.505	0.394	0.16	0.095	
Th <sup>4+</sup> , Zr <sup>4+</sup> , Ce <sup>4+</sup> , Sn <sup>4+</sup>	1.1	0.587	0.348	0.252	0.10	0.063	
$Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$	0.5	0.569	0.305	0.200	0.047	0.020	

 $\log \gamma_X = -\frac{0.51 z_X^2 \sqrt{I}}{1 + 3.3 \alpha_X \sqrt{I}}$  $\alpha$  = effective diameter (nm) of hydrated ion, X

... the derivation is long... ... but the main idea is that you balance thermal motion (Boltzmann) with electrostatics (Poisson/ Gauss)

Source: Reprinted with permission from J. Kielland, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1937, 59, 1675. Copyright 1937 American Chemical Society.

© 2004 Thomson - Brooks/Cole

#### Table 10-2, p. 274 in Skoog & West

... the "limiting law" is when  $I \rightarrow 0$  (< 10 mM)...  $\log \gamma_X = -0.51 z_X^2 \sqrt{I}$ 

## Le Chatelier's Principle

Initial states equilibrate based on ICE, ICE Baby!

#### $A \rightleftharpoons B + C$

Reaction:	НА	A-	H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup>
I	0.150 M	0.000 M	0.000 M
с	-x M	+x M	+x M
E	0.150 - x M	×М	×М

http://chemwiki.ucdavis.edu/Physical\_Chemistry/Eq uilibria/Le\_Chatelier%27s\_Principle/Ice\_Tables

 $A \rightleftharpoons B + C$ 



http://buzzworthy.mtv.c om/2012/11/14/justinbieber-vanilla-ice-photo/

Given K', and assuming typical standard-state conditions, use the following equation and plug in information from E (in ICE) to calculate x

 $K' = \frac{\left(c_{\rm B,eq}^{\alpha}/c_{\rm B}^{\rm o,\alpha}\right)\left(c_{\rm C,eq}^{\alpha}/c_{\rm C}^{\rm o,\alpha}\right)}{c_{\rm A}^{\alpha}/c_{\rm A}^{\rm o,\alpha}}$ 

Vanilla Ice wants to know, "As the initial state progresses, what happens if you keep supplying A and pulling B and C away?" ...

### Le Chatelier's Principle

... Le Chatelier's Principle indicates that the reaction will continue...

$$\rightarrow A \rightleftharpoons B + C \rightarrow$$

... but for how long? ...

$$M_1 \rightarrow A \rightleftharpoons B + C \rightarrow M_2$$

"changes in the temperature, pressure, volume, or concentration of a system will result in predictable and opposing changes in the system in order to achieve a new equilibrium state."

– Wiki, Le Chatelier's principle

... as long as the rate of supply in and/or the rate of exit out are non-zero...

In an ideal world, i.e. under certain rare conditions,

 $\frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial c_B}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial c_C}{\partial t} = 0$ , and the system is in a <u>steady-state</u> where there is a net flux of species (generation of A, from the left, and loss of B & C, to the right) but the species concentrations in the cell do not change with time

## Chemical Properties (summary for today)

- Molecular nomenclature, Solutions, Balanced chemical reactions
- State functions, Standard states, Thermochemistry
- Non-ideal gases, Intermolecular forces, Physical properties, Phase changes, Colligative properties, Water activity
- Free energy, (X)Chemical potential, Chemical equilibrium, van't Hoff equation, Activity coefficients, Le Chatelier's principle
- oapertstime Schrödinger equation, Internal energy, Atomic orbitals, Hybridization
- Valence bond theory, Molecular orbital theory, Band diagrams
- Crystal field theory, Ligand field theory