

Lecture #5 of 12

Prof. Shane Ardo Department of Chemistry University of California Irvine



Thermal (Dark) Reactions

Prof. Shane Ardo Department of Chemistry University of California Irvine

(REVIEW) 106

Marcus-Hush Theory <u>Marcus-Hush (1950s-1960s)</u> theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation $\frac{D + A}{A} \rightleftharpoons \frac{D^{+} + A^{-}}{B}$... but how is this a firstorder reaction (s⁻¹)?... more on this later...

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H_{\rm DA}|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\lambda_{\rm AB}k_{\rm B}T}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{\rm AB}^{2}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \dots \frac{2\pi |H_{\rm DA}|^2}{\hbar\sqrt{4\pi\lambda k_{\rm B}T}} \text{ has units of s}^{-1}$$

$$A \rightleftharpoons X^{\neq} \rightleftharpoons B$$

<u>Eyring–Polanyi–Evans (1930s)</u>

theoretical rate constant equation (from transition-state theory / activated complex theory)

$$k_{f} = \kappa \nu K^{\neq} = \frac{\kappa k_{\rm B} T}{h} K^{\neq} \dots \text{ with transmission coefficient, } \kappa, \text{ and vibrational frequency, } \nu \text{ (s}^{-1})$$
$$\dots \text{ and } R = N_{A} k_{\rm B} \dots \text{ and } \frac{\kappa k_{\rm B} T}{h} \text{ has units of s}^{-1}$$
$$k_{f} = \frac{\kappa k_{\rm B} T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G^{\neq}}{RT}\right) = \frac{\kappa k_{\rm B} T}{h} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S^{\neq}}{R}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\neq}}{RT}\right) \dots \text{ and so } A \text{ contains } \Delta S^{\neq}$$

... what is the largest predicted pre-exponential factor at 25 °C? (161 fs)⁻¹ = (1.61 x 10⁻¹³ s)⁻¹

(REVIEW) 107

Outer + Inner Reorganization Energies

Marcus-Hush (1950s-1960s)

theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation

This theory came about by answering the following question: For an electron-transfer event, how does one satisfy the Franck–Condon principle and the conservation of energy?

• <u>Franck–Condon principle</u>: Nuclei are fixed during electron-transfer between orbitals... Born–Oppenheimer approximation is relevant

$$\lambda_i = \sum_l \lambda_{i,l} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_l f_l \left(\Delta q_{e,l} \right)^2$$

... this is for a harmonic oscillator ... with force constants, f_l ...

... and yes, it's another parabola!

P. Chen & T. J. Meyer, Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 1439-1477



Observation of Inverted Region Behavior

<u>Marcus–Hush</u> (1950s–1960s)

theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation



Closs-Miller (1984)

observation of inverted region



L. T. Calcaterra, J. R. Miller & G. L. Closs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1984**, *106*, 3047–3049 G. L. Closs & J. R. Miller, *Science*, **1988**, *240*, 440–447

Today's Critical Guiding Question

What thermodynamic term indicates whether a processes will net occur, or not, for a chemical reaction, and a single species... and uniquely for today, how does it allow us to quantitatively predict kinetic information... <u>for real this time: Part 2</u>?

(UPDATED) 110

Thermal (Dark) Reactions

- Activation energy, Eyring–Polanyi–Evans equation
- Marcus–Hush (electron-transfer) theory
- Transition-state character, Reorganization energies (outer and inner), Linear free energy relationships
- Molecular orbital theory, Huang–Rhys factor
- Quantum mechanical tunneling, Superexchange
- Inner versus Outer sphere reactions, Robin–Day classification
- Self-exchange reactions, Marcus cross relations
- Charge transfer across electrified interfaces, Butler–Volmer equation, Rate-determining step, Fermi's golden rule, Marcus–Gerischer theory

Linear Free Energy Relationships (LFERs)

Marcus-Hush (1950s-1960s)

theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation

linear free-energy relation

Online use... •

Also contains definition of: linear Gibbs energy relation

A linear correlation between the logarithm of a <u>rate constant</u> or <u>equilibrium constant</u> for one series of reactions and the logarithm of the <u>rate constant</u> or <u>equilibrium constant</u> for a related series of reactions. Typical examples of such relations (also known as linear Gibbs energy relations) are the <u>Brønsted relation</u>, and the <u>Hammett equation</u>.

The name arises because the logarithm of an <u>equilibrium constant</u> (at constant temperature and pressure) is proportional to a standard <u>free energy</u> (Gibbs energy) change, and the logarithm of a <u>rate constant</u> is a linear function of the <u>free energy</u> (Gibbs energy) of <u>activation</u>.

It has been suggested that this name should be replaced by linear Gibbs energy relation, but at present there is little sign of acceptance of this change. The area of physical organic

Cite as: IUPAC. Compendium of Chemical Terminology, 2nd ed. (the "Gold Book"). Compiled by A. D. McNaught and A. Wilkinson. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford (1997). Online version (2019-) created by S. J. Chalk. ISBN 0-9678550-9-8. https://doi.org/10.1351/goldbook.

Div. III PDF Text JSON History Feedback

Last revised: February 24, 2014

... trend looks linear over a small enough ΔG_{AB}^{o} range

J. Albery, *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, **1980**, *31*, 227–263 R. A. Marcus, *Farad. Discuss. Chem. Soc.*, **1982**, *74*, 7–15



Marcus Kinetic Behavior

Table 13Applications of the Marcus relation

-		
Electron transfers	Electrochemical	Tafel Law Electrode kinetics at semiconductors
	Homogeneous	Correlation with electrochemical Cross reactions obey Marcus relation (Eq. 4)
Proton transfers	Marcus relation reduces O, N bases and some transfers to C	to Brønsted Law Explains curved Brønsted plots
	Concerted reactions	Describes enolization of acetone
Methyl transfers	Cross reactions obey Marcus relation Swain-Scott <i>n</i> separated into n^{\ddagger} and n^{θ} αD isotope effects measure C ⁺ character	
Nucleophilic additions	Provides alternative explanation to Ritchie relation Values of \overline{G} found to be constant for similar species	

J. Albery, *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, **1980**, *31*, 227–263 R. A. Marcus, *Farad. Discuss. Chem. Soc.*, **1982**, *74*, 7–15

(**REVIEW**) 113

 $\phi(r) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon r}$

10

Poisson's Equation (from Gauss's law)

 $\frac{\partial^2 \phi(x)}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \qquad \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x}$

Schrödinger Equation

But you still didn't tell us why we need to recall this equation $\widehat{H}\psi_n(x) = (\widehat{T} + \widehat{V})\psi_n(x) = E_n\psi(x)$

... we need to fill **internal energies**, E_n , with particles... okay.

... and that under most chemical conditions, potential energy, $\hat{V}(x)$, is electrostatic, $\phi(x)$... which is actually not so limiting because there are only 4-ish forces of Nature ... and while we're at it, let's (re)learn overlap integral (S_{nm}) , expectation value $(\langle p_n \rangle)$, bra-ket **notation** $(\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle)$, and exponential **tunneling** probability... Probability Density(x) = $|\psi_n(x)|^2 = \psi_n^*(x)\psi_n(x)$... with $\psi_n^*(x)$ (complex conjugate) рзі, V, E

Overlap integral, $S_{nm} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_n^*(x) \psi_m(x) dx = \langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle$... with $\langle \Psi_n |$ ("bra") and $|\Psi_m \rangle$ ("ket")

Mean Energy, $\langle \mathbf{E}_n \rangle = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_n^*(x,t) \widehat{H} \Psi_n(x,t) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_n^*(x,t) \Psi_n(x,t) dx} = \langle \Psi_n | \widehat{H} | \Psi_n \rangle = \langle \widehat{H} \rangle$



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum tunnelling#/media/File:E14-V20-B1.gif

-5 _ -20

for a point charge...

look familiar?

Molecular Orbital Theory

Marcus–Hush (1950s–1960s)

theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation



Molecular Orbital Theory

114

Quantum Mechanical Tunneling / Superexchange

Marcus-Hush (1950s-1960s)

toluene

MTHF

distance

20 Å

10-10

ns

10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁷

μs

10-5

10⁻⁴ **ms** 10⁻²

10-1

S

vacuum

10 Å

tunneling time

theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation

water
... incoherent multi-step hopping...
... or coherent superexchange...
... where
$$\beta_{eff,hop} \ll \beta_{super} < \beta_{tunnel}$$

H. B. Gray & J. R. Winkler, *PNAS*, 2005, 102, 3534–3539

30 Å

 $\begin{aligned} |\widehat{H}_{\text{DA}}| &= \left| \left\langle \Psi_{\text{D}} \middle| \widehat{H}_{\text{DA}} \middle| \Psi_{\text{A}} \right\rangle \right| = \left| \widehat{H}_{\text{DA}}^{\text{o}} \right| \exp \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ & \dots \text{ where } \beta_{\text{tunnel}} \text{ has units of } \mathbb{A}^{-1} \end{aligned}$

... where N is the number of bonds

HOMO (spacer)

 $\left|\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{DA}}\right| = \left|\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{DA}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right| \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_{\mathrm{super}}(N-1)}{2}\right)$

$$-\frac{\beta_{\text{tunnel}}(z_{\text{DA}}-z_{\text{DA}}^{\text{o}})}{2}\right)$$

Superexchange (not hopping!)

long-distance through-bond transport

G. L. Closs & J. R. Miller, Science, 1988, 240, 440-447

115

Inner versus Outer Sphere Reactions

Marcus-Hush (1950s-1960s)

theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H_{\rm DA}|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\lambda_{\rm AB}kT}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{\rm AB}^{\neq}}{kT}\right)$$



Figure 1. Visible–ultraviolet spectra of [2,2], [2,3], and [3,3] in 0.05 N HClO₄. (Absorbance around 560 m μ for [3,3] is probably due to residual [2,3] in the solution; photoreduction of [3,3] takes place readily.) $\epsilon_{[2,2]}$ and $\epsilon_{[2,3]}$ are measured in the range 2–3 \times 10⁻⁵ M, 0 path length 1 cm. $\epsilon_{[3,3]}$ is measured at 8 \times 10⁻⁵ M, 1-cm path length.

Figure 2. Near-infrared spectrum of [2,3] in D_2O : concentration of [2,3] = $1.5 \times 10^{-4} M$; 1-cm path length. (Dashed line is actually the blank, but accurately indicates the spectra of [2,2] and [2,3] in this range above 750 m μ at this concentration level.)

Taube (1950s-1970s)

inner sphere electron transfer

Robin–Day MV classification...label each below!Class I: nonadiabatic ($H_{DA} \approx 0$)Class II: adiabatic, localized ($0 < 2H_{DA} < \lambda_{AB}$)Class III: adiabatic, delocalized ($2H_{DA} > \lambda_{AB}$)

... so what is $2H_{DA}$ for Class III [C–T]⁵⁺?



d. C. Creutz & H. Taube, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1969**, *91*, 3988–3989 B. S. Brunschwig, C. Creutz & N. Sutin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2002**, *31*, 168–184

Huang–Rhys Factor

Marcus-Hush (1950s-1960s)

theoretical (semiclassical) rate constant equation

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H_{\rm DA}|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\lambda_{\rm AB}kT}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{\rm AB}^{\neq}}{kT}\right)$$
$$= \nu_{\rm n}(r)\kappa_{\rm el}(r)\kappa_{\rm n}(r)$$

$$=\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}\langle\psi'_{\rm el}|\hat{H}|\psi_{\rm el}\rangle^2\langle\psi'_{\rm vib}|\psi_{\rm vib}\rangle^2\delta(E-E)$$

Fermi's Golden Rule

time-dependent perturbation theory

With one coupled medium- or high-frequency mode (or averaged mode), only the v = 0 vibrational level is appreciably populated at room temperature ($\hbar \omega \gg k_{\rm B}T$), and^{8,43,112,115,131}

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{H_{\rm DA}^2}{(4\pi\lambda_0 RT)^{1/2}} \sum_{\nu} \exp(-S) \frac{S^{\nu}}{\nu'!} \exp[-[(\Delta G^\circ + \nu'\hbar\omega + \lambda_0)^2/4\lambda_0 RT]]$$
(25)

In this limit the coupled vibration does not contribute to the temperature dependence since the only contributing reaction channels originate from v = 0.

... separable due to the Born–Oppenheimer approximation

If $\hbar \omega_j \gg k_{\rm B} T$, only $v_j = 0$ is appreciably populated and

$$\langle \chi_{v_j'} | \chi_{v_j=0} \rangle^2 = \exp(-S_j) \frac{S_j^{v_j}}{v_j'!}$$
 (22)

P. Chen & T. J. Meyer, Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 1439–1477

 S_j is the electron-vibrational coupling constant, or Huang–Rhys factor:^{99,105}

$$S_{j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_{j} \omega_{j}}{\hbar} \right) (\Delta Q_{\mathrm{e},j})^{2}$$
(19)

 M_j is the reduced mass. The physical significance of the vibrational overlap integrals is that they give the extent to which the final and initial states coincide along the normal coordinate. N. Sutin, Acc.

... we'll stop here, but it can get even messier, of course...

N. Sutin, Acc. Chem. Res., 1982, 15, 275-282

Today's Critical Guiding Question

What thermodynamic term indicates whether a processes will net occur, or not, for a chemical reaction, and a single species... and uniquely for today, how does it allow us to quantitatively predict kinetic information... <u>for real this time: Part 2</u>?